

The Applicants believe that there is no species election requirement corresponding to the claims of Group II and make no species election for that reason.

In view of the Examiner's multiple indications that a new Restriction Requirement would be mailed in place of and on account of deficiencies in the present Restriction Requirement, the Applicants respectfully request that this response be only provisionally entered, and that this provisional response (and the accompanying petition for extension of time) be withdrawn (and the deposit account of Applicant's representative not be charged the corresponding fee) if, as the Examiner indicated, a new Restriction Requirement has been mailed prior to receipt of this response.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles R. BRIDGES et al.

Date: 8 September 2008

By: 

Gary D. Colby, Ph.D., J.D.

Registration Number 40,961

Duane Morris LLP

30 S. 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: 215-979-1849

Facsimile: 215-979-1020

E-mail: gdcolby@duanemorris.com